

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/807,297	08/31/2001	Kia Silverbrook	360040	6041		
75	90 11/05/2002	e.				
KIA SILVERBROOK			EXAMINER			
C/O SILVERBE 393 DARLING	ROOK RESEARCH PTY ST.	DO, AN H				
BALMAIN, NS	W 2041,					
AUSTRALÍA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2853			
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		' v						
		Application	No.		Applicant(s)				
•		09/807,297		ļ	SILVERBROOK,	KIA			
Office Action Summary		Examiner			Art Unit				
		An H. Do			2853				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet	t with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period fo		V 10 05T TO	EVDIDE (NAONITI I	C) EDOM				
THE N - Exten after S - If tho - Failur - Any f	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutor will apply and will exercise the applica	however, may minimum of the SIX (6) for to become	y a reply be timed thirty (30) day MONTHS from a ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ly. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> August 2002</u> .							
2a)□	-	his action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for r Ex parte Qua	or formal yle, 1935	matters, pi	rosecution as to t 153 O.G. 213.	he merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims								
	Claim(s) 130-137 is/are pending in the applic								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from cons	ideration.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 130-137 is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.						
• •	ion Papers								
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examin			4b 					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc								
4.4.\	Applicant may not request that any objection to t The proposed drawing correction filed on								
11)				uisappi	oved by the Exami	1101.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority und	er 35 U S	C & 1196	a)-(d) or (f).				
		gii priority una	0. 00 0.0		2) (2) 2: (:):				
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been	received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				tion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri					al Stage			
*	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT R	tule 17.2(a)).		•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					al application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	orovisional app	lication h	as been re	ceived.				
Attachme		1 - 7							
1) 🔀 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inter	view Summa	ry (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)			
2) Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		5) Notion		l Patent Application (I	PTO-152)			
L									

Application/Control Number: 09/807,297

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second Office Action on the merits responsive to the filing of the pending application.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 130 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Change "said nozzle" in line 2 to --said nozzles--.
 - Change "sacrificial layers" in line 3 to --sacrificial layer--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Application/Control Number: 09/807,297

Art Unit: 2853

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 130-137 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,273,544. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the nozzle rims are formed as a result of the deposition of a rim material layer and subsequent planar etching of the rim material layer so as to form the nozzle rim as can be seen in both claims 1 in the application and the patent. For the dependent claims 131-137, they are similar compared to claims 2-8 of the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/807,297

Art Unit: 2853

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 130 and 133 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chwalek et al (US 6,022,099).

Regarding claim 130, Chwalek et al discloses in Figures 1(b) and 1(c) an inkjet printhead (16) having a series of nozzles for the ejection of ink wherein each of the nozzles has a rim (54) formed by the deposition of a rim material layer (column 4, lines 35-38) over a sacrificial layer (50) and a subsequent planar removal of at least the rim material layer so as to form the nozzle rim (54).

Regarding claim 133, it is also disclosed that the planar removal process is an etching process (column 4, lines 35-37).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 130-137 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2853

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An H. Do whose telephone number is 703-308-0525. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow, Jr. can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

AD

October 10, 2002

Juhn Barlow/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800